

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/8/2012 11:17:00 AM

To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US; Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Fw: Verification/Completeness Check for R3 (WO1202015 PART # Posted Feb 29 and R3 (WO1202001 PART 3 Posted Mar 01)

Kelley,

I will provide the written response to this report later today. I did want to discuss with you how to approach the differences between NFG and R3 Modifications. The qualifiers that are being proposed from SERAS are the National Functional Guideline (NFG) codes and there are a few differences that what is routinely used within R3.

My afternoon is booked with meetings but if you had some time either around 1:30 or 4:30 I could squeeze a call in.

Cindy

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 03/08/2012 11:11 AM -----

From: **Ex. 4 - CBI**
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: John Gilbert/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Newhart/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Sella Burchette/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, **Ex. 4 - CBI**
Ex. 4 - CBI
Date: 03/07/2012 03:48 PM
Subject: Verification/Completeness Check for R3 (WO1202015 PART # Posted Feb 29 and R3 (WO1202001 PART 3 Posted Mar 01)

Good Afternoon to All:

I consolidated the comments and recommendations for qualifications in one memo in the interest of reducing the e-mails. The qualifications are in "Red" and are ready for **Ex. 4 - CBI** once a response is received. Let me know if everyone is OK with this. Thanks.

Deb

Ex. 4 - CBI

Lockheed Martin

Scientific, Engineering, Response and Analytical Services (SERAS)

Ex. 4 - CBI

[attachment "SERAS-172-DSR-030712_16.docx" deleted by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US]